Preserve
W
1714/36

G R S IX 5]

Previous references

2nd 2 9-11 S/L 3E May 12 1917-18

24-15 55 57, 6373, 89, 1261 4/27

(Annual)

Later references

File No 460-16

Serial Nos 13-18

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 13-18

Part B for April 1917

SUBJECT

Claim of Manjayya late munsl Khanabhog of Chitk- malabar for the refund of Rs 67-9-1 withheld out of the security amount furnished by him.

18. Govt Endt No 67578 23-4 17 to the petitioner

Rejects the petition with certain observations.

9/L
19-7 17

W D 38—GPB—50,000—24-7 16

G R. S 11] 1916

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( ) 2049

NOTES.

Mll File No 40-16

FILE HEADING

Petitions from Kadur District

From

Manjayya, Chikmagalur

No. — Dated — Received 26-12-16

Diary No 2049	Enclos one Spare Copies	Serial No 13

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Prays that Rs 67-9-1 which have been withheld from Rs 200 furnished by the petr when he was looking after the duties of Munsif Shanbogue in Chikmagalur Town, may be caused to be paid to him

NOTES AND ORDERS

Submitted Draft forwarding the petn to the Presidg for favour of a report

6-1-17

7/1

2. In such cases the petr has ~~to be put~~ to be asked to call for records & form notes. It appears

NOTES—First Sheet.

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ml File No 220 of 1916

Serial No

Leave file
Mem put up for refer
of file flag
with flag
mark
19 X 21 Folio 7

to be rejected in hundee in the
Govt Member's order of 3 11 16
in Muni File 3 4 of 1915 —

3 Petr may be told that
Govt cannot interfere in the
matter —

Rev Secy.)

10/1-17

4 I am not sure that the order
referred to can apply to a case like
the present one, which is an appeal
by a servant of the Municipality, a
Chamboque, against an order of the
Presdt, substituted no doubt by a
resolution of the Muni Council,
affecting the security amount deposited
by him, by reason of his having been
held liable to the payment of certain
amounts to the Municipality. I think
he has the right of appeal like any
other official in the service of the
Municipal Council On the merits of
the case also, as appearing from the appe order appealed agt and the petn, it seems to be one requiring examination

5 A report may be called for.
Draft appd for issue.

12 1 17

C Stone

12/1-

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—20 000—30 6 15

G R. S. III-2j

2226

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No 40 of 1916.

Serial No	
14	To The President, Jud Bds, Madras No 4411 D/ 16 17 Calls for a report on the petition
15	From The President, Jud Bds, Madras No 136 D/17 117 Submits his report on the petn of Ramayah stating that the deduction in question from his security amount was made because the Shanbhag wantonly neglected to collect the interest on certain arrears of previous years and that the Jud Counsel had resolved that the amount should be recovered from the security amount deposited ~~by Ramayya~~ by him 7 Submitted for orders CB 27/1 8 Please see paras II & III of the petn which states that neither the Mamul Regn nor the legal laws provide for the recovery of interest on arrears – To Rev Sec? Please see Regns & rule 31/-17

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 1885—GFB—40,000—1-18-16—S

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No 40 of 1916

Serial No

Submitted

9 The Municipal Regulation does not seem to authorise any municipal council to levy interest on arrears of taxes Sec 46(c) of the Regulation only authorises (among other things) (to frame rules for the levy of demand notice fees

10 If approved the President of Municipalities, Kadur Dt will be requested to state under what authority interest is levied on arrears of Jud taxes by the Chikmagalur Jud Council

CG
1/2/16

" Asst Inspector

2/2

2/2-1917

Rev Secy)

12 yes

6/2/17.

6/2-17

13 Aff submitted

CG
7/2/17

14 Issue

12/2-17

9/2

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No 40 of 1916

Serial No	
16	To The President, Jud BSS, Kadur Dt No 5356 Dt/15-2-16 Calls for details of arrears referred to and enquires under what authority interest was levied on taxes by the Municipal Council of Chikmagalur.
17	From The President, Jud BSS, Kadur Dt No 184 Dt/21 3 17 Reports that interest was on arrears of Mohatarfa in the Municipality as per G.O. No 8912 dated 23 5 1912 and submits objection statements relating to the year 1912-13 and states that the objection statements relating to the year 1913-14 will be obtained and from the Complaints & submitted to Govt. if so observed 15 submitted for orders C/B 14 7/14 16 7 14 Sanction to the levy of Shanbogue to which interest as per order — the only point for consideration is how far the Security — which is believed is meant for losses of embezzlement &c — can be legally resorted to for non collection

W

Issue and up the Chikmagalur May 8, 1912 reg 3 & 4 W

14 7/14 -

collection is not the levy of Shanbogue & Patels reg that Issue and the Revenue requisition thereon 3.4.17

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 1868—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S.

G R. S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mil File No 40 of 1916

Serial No

Lieut. ~~If there~~ In recovery of municipal dues the Regs prescribe a dispute procedure —

17 How far the levy of interest under the order of 1912 is legal is another point also for consideration. In any case the Municipality is also to blame for not bringing up to the Regs for 6 years after its introduction

(Rev. Secy.) 10/4-17

18 The only point for consideration now is whether an withholding a portion of the security amount to recoup any municipal revenue which the Shamboque failed to collect is a proper order In ordinary cases, I think it would not be correct, but as the Presdt & the Council say the Shamboque was guilty of wilfully ~~neglecting~~ failing to collect the revenue, the order may be viewed as a punishment awarded to him, and the Govt may decline to interfere with The petn may be rejected

2nd member) Lyne 4 12

14/4/17 144

NOTE—Contn sheet

331/13-4-17

WD 1288—GPB—60 000—1 12.16—S.

7

G R S III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ml File No 40 of 1916

Serial No	
	To Draft as per instructions for approval C.B. 10/4/17 10/4/17 9/1 Thomas Ag 20/4-17
18	To the Petitioner No 6757 D/ 23 4 17 Rejects the petition with the observation that Govt see no reason to modify the orders passed by the Municipality in this case

NOTE—Contn sheet

Date of P 23.12.16

Petn Regr 10,444

26 DEC 1916

ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರ ಭಾರತ

ಅಂಚೆ

ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಕಾರ್ಡ್

ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸುವವರ ವಿಳಾಸ

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ರಸಾದ್
ಸಂಪಾದಕರು
ಪ್ರಜಾವಾಣಿ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸುವವರ ವಿಳಾಸ ಬರೆಯುವ ಸ್ಥಳ

ಕಳುಹಿಸುವವರ ವಿಳಾಸ

ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ರಸಾದ್
ಸಂಪಾದಕರು
ಪ್ರಜಾವಾಣಿ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಕಳುಹಿಸುವವರ ವಿಳಾಸ ಬರೆಯುವ ಸ್ಥಳ

ಸಂಪಾದಕರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಯುವಾಗ ಅನುಸರಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಕೆಲವು ಸಲಹೆಗಳು

ಸಂಪಾದಕರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಯುವಾಗ ಅನುಸರಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಕೆಲವು ಸಲಹೆಗಳು

ಸಂಪಾದಕರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಯುವಾಗ ಅನುಸರಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಕೆಲವು ಸಲಹೆಗಳು

ಸಂಪಾದಕರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಯುವಾಗ ಅನುಸರಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಕೆಲವು ಸಲಹೆಗಳು

ಸಂಪಾದಕರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಯುವಾಗ ಅನುಸರಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಕೆಲವು ಸಲಹೆಗಳು

ಸರ್ಕಾರ

ಮೈಸೂರು

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಕೆಲವು ಕಾನೂನುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ಮಾಹಿತಿ

ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕಾನೂನುಗಳು ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅವುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಕೆಲವು ಕಾನೂನುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ಮಾಹಿತಿ

ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕಾನೂನುಗಳು ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅವುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಕೆಲವು ಕಾನೂನುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ಮಾಹಿತಿ

ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕಾನೂನುಗಳು ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅವುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

Yours faithfully,

President

M.Board

8

Mlo 40-16-15

Office of the President, Municipal Boards,

Kadur District,

Dated - Chikmagalur, the 17th January 1917.

L Krishna Rao, Esquire,

President, Municipal Boards,

Kadur District.

B.Ramaswamaiya, Esquire, B A., B L.,

Offg Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,

B A N G A L O R E

ir,

With reference to your letter No 4411/M1 40-16-14 ted 16th instant forwarding for report Petition from Manjaiyya, ikmagalur Taluk, praying that the sum of Rs 67-9-1 withheld -- om the Security Amount of Rs 200/- furnished by him when he was oking ~~after~~ the duties of Municipal Shanbhogue in Chikmagalur -- own may be caused to be paid to him, I have the honour to state hat as per objection statement embodying the result of audit of accounts of the Chikmagalur Municipality by the Local Audit taff of the Comptroller's office for the years 1912-13 and 1913-4, a sum of Rs 50-14-4 and Rs 13-10-9 the amount of uncollected nterest of arrears of previous years collected during the above

GEN & REV
19 JAN 1917
1916-17

From
The President Municipal Board
Kadur District.

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Bangalore.

Dated 17/1/17
Despatched 19.1.17
Received
General No 134
No

Enclosure No five

Submitting a detailed report in the matter of Security amount furnished by Thangaih of Bhadramagalam Municipality.

-2-

above period was put under objection for recovery togetherwith a sum of Rs. 3/- paid irregularly to the Shanbhogue as contingent allowence contrary to Government No 6086/Ml 96 dated --------- 21-3-1910

2 The matter was placed before the managing Committee in their meeting held on 8-5-16 and it was resolved as follows -

" It is clearly seen from the records that the Shanbhogue has wantonly neglected to collect the interest of Rs 50-14-4 and Rs 13-10-9 as shown in the objection statements for 1912-13 and 1913-14 Finding that the explanation rendered by the Shanbhogue unsatisfactory, it is resolved that the amount of Rs. 50-14-4 and Rs 13-10-9 be deducted out of the Security amount and the balance paid to him "and this fact was upheld by the Municipal Council intheir meeting dated 31-5-16

3 Copies of their resolutions are herewith submitted for reference.

4, Accordingly a sum of Rs 64-9-1, the amount of interest omitted to be collected by the Shanbhogue together with a sum of Rs. 3/- the contingent charges paid in excess i e in all --- Rs 67-9-1 was deducted out of his security amount and credi

5. The petition with its enclosure received with the letter under reference is herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President, Municipal Boards,

Kadur District.

Y S.M.

3 Encls ML 60-16-18

Proceedings of the meeting of the Chikmagalur Town Municipal Council convened on Wednesday the 31st May 1916.

X X X X X X

XI. Read.- Correspondence ending with Vice President's memo No 340 dated 20-5-1916 forwarding a copy of the resolution of the managing Committee resolving that the amounts of Rs 50-14-4 relating to the objection statement for 1912-13 and Rs 13-10-9 of 1913-14 should be deducted out of the Security amount of late Shanbhogue Manjaiah and credited to the Treasury and the balance may be paid to him as he wantonly neglected to recover the interests from the parties, the explanation rendered by him being unsatisfactory

Resolution - Resolved that the amount is to be recovered from the Shanbhogue's security amount deposited in Treasury as per ~~the~~ recommendation of the Managing Committee

Sd/- [illegible]

" COPY"

L Krishna Rao

President, Municipal Council,

Chikmagalur

Y S M

Encl to ML 60-16-15

Proceedings of the meeting of the Managing Committee of the Chikmagalur Town Municipal Council convened on Monday the 8th May 1916.

X X X X X

III. Read.- records connected with late Shanbhogue Manjaiyya's Security amount

Resolution - It is clearly seen from the ~~record~~ records that the Sha Shanbhogue has wantonly neglected to collect the interest of Rs 50-14-4 and Rs 13-10-9 as shown in the objection statements for 1912-13 and 1913-14 Finding that the explanation rendered by the Shanbhogue unsatisfactory, it is resolved that the amount of Rs 50-14-4 and Rs 13-10-9 be deducted out of the Security amount and the balance may be paid to him

X X X X X

Sd/- C Vasudeva Rao,
Chairman

" C O P Y "

C Vasudeva Rao.
President, Municipal Council,
Chikmagalur

Y S M

Petn Nagayya
Chikmagalur taluq

G R S 26 TYPING Sec
15 JAN 1917

File No 40-16
Serial No 14

Diary No of letter replied to 2049

Cecille
No 4411
ml 40-16-14 16/1/17

ral and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 191 .

To
The President
Municipal Boards
Kadur

Date of issue 16.1.17.

Signed by Hon'ble Nagaraja Iyengar Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith ~~for favour of a report~~ petn (No 2049) from Nagayya, Chikmagalur taluq, praying that a sum of Rs 67-9-1 which has been withheld from the security amount of Rs 200 furnished by him ~~as security~~ when he was looking after the duties of Shanbogue in Chikmagalur Town, may be caused to be paid to him, and to request the favour of a report in the matter.

SUBJECT

for 12/1/17
for Rev Secy

Ad
6/1/17

Rec

E 5/1/17

Chuk Mapobs

R S 26] 1[illegible]

File No (0)
Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to 2.2.28

No. 5356
ml 40-16-16
B15 217

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Ind)
the 191

To
The President,
Ind BDS, Kadur District

Date of issue 16 2 17

Signed by [illegible]

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 134 dated the 17th January 1917 submitting a report on the petition of Ramayiyya, late Menigar of Chuk Mapobs town, I have the honour directed to request you to be

over

SUBJECT

[illegible]
14/2

further details of the arrears
so soon as [illegible] reference to the interest charges
which the first paragraph
under what authority
has called upon [illegible]
interest is levied on
to collect the
arrears of Municipal
Taxes by the Chittagong
Municipal Council
in the High Court
for that purpose

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

CB
7207

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

G.P.E.—20,000—10-10-14

GPE—40,000—17-8-15

1916-17 Encl

From

The President, Municipal Boards,
Kadur District.

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Dated 21-3-17 Bangalore

Despatched 23-3-17

Received

REV SEC.
W
Ce 27/3
26 MAR 1917

General No 194
No.

Enclosure No two

Submitting an extract of the Objection Statement of 1912-13 relating to the Chicka Municipality in which the arrears and the interest thereon which the late Shamayah was called upon to Collect

Sec 14a

D 2882

Rel 40-16-17

Office of the President, Municipal Boards,

Kadur District,

Dated - Chikmagalur, the 21st March 1917
23

From,

L Krishna Rao, Esquire,

President, Municipal Boards,

Kadur District

To,

B Ramaswamaiya, Esquire, B A , B L ,

Offg Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,

B A N G A L O R E

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 5356/Rl

40-16-16 dated 15th February 1917, calling for ~~return~~ additional

particulars with regard to the petition of Manjiah late

Municipal Shanbhog of Chikmagalur Town, I have the honour

to state that the interest on arrears of Municipal taxes is

levied as per Secretary's letter No 8912/Rl 269-11-3 dated

23rd May 1912(Copy enclosed for reference)

2 An extract of the objection Statement relating

to the year 1912-13 in which the details of arrears and the

interest thereon which the late Shanbhog Manjiah was called

upon to collect is herewith submitted

3 The objection statement relating to the year 1913-14 has been sent to the Comptroller with replies which will be furnished after obtaining the same from him if so desired.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President, Municipal Boards,

Y S.M

Kadur District

44

Letter No 8912/M1 269-11-3 dated 23rd May 1912 from the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments, Bangalore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District.

&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&

With reference to your letter No 351 dated the 18th A 1912, soliciting instructions as to whether in the Chikmagalur Municipality, interest may be levied on arrears of Mohatarfa Taxes, I am directed to invite your attention to my letter No. 8235-42/M1 269-11-2 dated the 8th May 1912, requesting you to advise the several Municipal Councils in the District, to take immediate action under sections 59 XI and 60 of the Municipal Regulation, with a view to ~~-~~ regularize the Mohatarfa Taxes, and to state that till such action is taken by the Chikmagalur Municipal Council, the old state of things in regard to the levy of interest may continue.

&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&

" C O P Y "

Offg Deputy Commissioner,

Y S M.

Kadur District

[C O 355—L A D 11]

Objection statement on the examination of the [illegible] accounts for 1912-13

PB—6,(1)—11

Serial No	Description of item			Amount placed under objection		Remarks of Auditor	Reply or explanation of the administrator of the fund	Final disposal
Date of Collection	Khata No	Year in which Collected.	Amount.					
3-4-13	3	10-11	3 5		5 3	Not Collected		
2-5-13	11.	10-11	4 0		7 4	Not Collected		
3-5-13	3.	11-12	4 8		3 -			
2-5-13	39	11-12	7 - -		5 3	- -		
3-8-12	319.	10-11	2 4		2 2	only 2 fins Collected		
19-6-13	358.	09-10 6 11-12	1 00	1 11		Not Collected		
24-9-12	377 -	11-12	13 -		2 2	Less than Total 12		
6-10-12		10 11	2 0 0		2 6	0-9-0 only 0-3-2 has		
6 4 13	4	11	2 1 9		5 -	been Collected		
	0	11-12	1 14 3					
9 6 13	390	10-11	2 0		3 10			
13-6-13	4	10-11	1 0		1 11	No Collection		
		11-12	2 0		1 11			
5 2-13	406	11-12	1 0 0		0 7	4		
3-8-12	462	10-11	2 5 11	0	2 2	4		
29-1-13	482	11-12	1 0		6	4		
15-2-13	685	11-12	2 7 9		12	4		
30-6 13	694	10-11	1 0 0		1 11	4		
		11-12	4 0 0	0	2 8	0		
				3 1 1				

Chief / Senior Auditor

Examined
[illegible]
for President
[illegible]

[C O 355—L A D 11] Objection statement on the examination of the accounts for

Serial No	Description of item		Amount placed under objection	Remarks of Auditor	Reply or explanation of the administrator of the fund	Final disposal
Cheque No	Collection date.	Year for which collected	Amount	Objection due		
718	30 4 13	11—12	5	0 3 9	No Collection in these cases.	
715	30 10 12	09—10	1	2 3		
		10—11	2	2 6		
		11—12	2	6		
725	20 2 12	09—10	2	4		
	22 8 12	11—12	2	2		
	22 5 13	09—10	1	2 10		
		10—11	4	7 4		
	12 6 13	10—11	4	7 6		
		11—12	6	5 6		
727	3 4 13	10—11	6	10 6		
		11—12	5 4 11	3 9		
729	2 5 13	10—11	1 4	1 10		
752	5 11 12	10—11	1 8	1 4		
755	1 10 12	"	2 4 10	2 6		
		11—12	2 11 2	6		
	2 5 13	"	5	4 2		
770	2 4 13	10—11	1	1 9		
807	9 6 13	11—12	2	1 10		
			£			

Chief / Senior Auditor

Vehicle No	Date	Hour	Number	Duration
901	9 11 12 11-11-12	11-12	4	1 4
902	4-7-12	10-11	3	3 .
968	21-3-13	10-11	1	1 8
		09-10	1	2 8
		11-12	1	9
983	9 10.12	09-10	2	4 6
		10-11	3	3 9
		11-12	3	9
1004	29-6-13.	10-11	2	3 11
1005	"	10-11	2	3 10
1016	28-9-12	07-08	1 3	5 4
		09-10	13	
		08-09	2	1 4
1	30-4 13	09-10	1 3	2 9
1021	4-2-13	04-05	1	6
		05-06		
		06-07	1	4
		07-08		
		08-09	1	2
		09-10		
		10-11	1	
		11-12		
1058	15-11-12.	10-11	3	4
	29-11-12	10-11	2	
	30-5-13	11-12		
		11-12	2	1 8
			3	13 1

No collision in that case


2-11-12 09 & 09-10
10-11 - -
13-6-13 10-11 & 11-12
1052 24-6-13 09-10
1094 1-8-12 11-12
1121 15-11-12 09-10
30-5-13 11-12
10-11
1141 26-10-12 08-09
09-10
10-11
11-12
1173 22-8-12 Annappa 11-12
1176 30-4-13 Nayanna 11-12
1204 14-8-12 Kalappa 11-12
1252 13-1-13 Ramchandra 10-11
1257 14-1-13 Lakshmappa 11-12
1258 4-11-12 Ramappa 09-10
10-11
22-12-12 10-11
11-12
2 2 7 . 4 8
1 1 5 - 4 4
1 2 7 . . 11
2 . . . 5 10
2 . . 2
2 . . . 4 8
2 . . 1 6
2 . . . 3 6
3 . . . 9 9
3 . . . 6 3
3 . . . 3 9
2 3 . . - 6
2 . . . 2
1 . . 9
2 . . 2
2 . 8
1 . . . 6
2 . . 4 8
1 1 4
1 . . 1 5
1 . . 5
3 1 5
No Collection in these cases


No collection in these cases

1518	16 2 - 13	11 - 12	2 .		12
1519	28 - 4 - 13	"	6 .		9
1524	21 - 2 - 13	"	2 .		12
1551	30 - 4 - 13	06 - 07	25 .	8	59
1553	19 - 5 - 13	04 - 05	4	1	54
		05 - 06	4	1	44
		06 - 07	4 .	1	74
1571	14 - 6 - 13	06 - 07	10 .	3	12
1596	30 - 6 - 13	10 11	10 .	1	32
1598	7 8 - 12	11 - 12	13 - .		1 1
	27 - 10 - 12	"	10 .		2 6
	1 - 1 - 13	"	6 28		06
		10 11	8 34		136
	3 - 4 - 13	08 - 10	12 10 5	2 .	
		10 - 11	2 5 4		5
	3 - 6 - 13	10 - 11	3 8 3		59
		11 - 12	8 3		11
1634	5 - 10 - 12	11 - 12	1 8 .		3

No collection in these cases

2½ - 8






1800
2 - 11 - 12 Chatham
0 3 — 0 4
0 4 — 0 5
1872
25 - 9 - 18 Fountain
10 — 11
11 — 12
1818
3 - 5 - 13 Russia
11 — 12
1821
12 - 10 - 12 Rosalie
10 — 11
11 — 12
1824
26 - 3 - 13 Dawn
11 — 12
1829
5 - 12 12 Champion
10 — 11
5 5 13
0 9 — 10
1830
5 11 12 Lucretia
0 6 — 0 5
5 12 12
0 5 — 0 6
4 3 - 13
0 6 — 0 7
10 4 - 13
0 7 — 0 8
1831
26) 12
0 6 — 7
0 7 — 8
0 8 — 9
0 9 — 10
10 — 11
1947
30 11 12
0 6 — 7
0 7 — 0 8
0 8 — 9
0 9 — 10
10 — 11
11 — 12
1893
14 5 12
0 9 — 10
10 — 11
1960
13 6 13
0 8 — 10
11
Vacancies
only 0 - 18 — has been
presumed
all collected
5 10 11
0 - 15 — 4 11 - 11

ನಂಬರು 6751

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಂದ ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣಾರಾಧ್ಯರವರ ಗವರ್ನ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು
ದಿವಾನ್ಜಿ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಮಗಳೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಂಬಳೆ ಹೋಬಳಿ
ಅಂಬಳೆ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಮಂಜಯ್ಯರವರು ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟು ಹುಕುಂ

ನೀವು ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಮಗಳೂರು ರಘುಪತಿಶೆಟ್ಟಿಯವರಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ
ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಶದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಪಿಡಪಳ್ಳಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. ಮೇಲಿನ ಒಣ
ಪಸಲಿನ ಹಳ್ಳದ ಮೇರೆಯ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪಿರ್ಕಾದಿಟ್ಟಿ ಮೇಲೆ ಬಂದಿರುವ 67-9-1 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಳ್ಳಾದ್ಧ ವಾಕ್ಯದ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ
ರೈಯತ್ತರ ಪಕ್ಷದವರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
ನೀವು ಮೈಸೂರು ಪಾರ್ಲಿಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ ಬರತಕ್ಕವರು ಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ

ಮೊಕ್ಕದ್ದಮೆಯ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಜಾಹಿರಾಯಿತು

ಈ ವಿಷಯದ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿ ಸಳ್ಳಾದ್ಧ ಎಲ್ಲಾ
ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳೂ ಆಗಲಾರದೆ ಈ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿಗೆ
ಮುಂದಿನ ಅಧಿವೇಶನಕ್ಕೆ ಬರಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ನಿಮ್ಮ
ನಿರ್ಧಾರವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿಯೂ ನೀವು ಕಾಣಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ
ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶ
ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

By Order,

Secretary.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು
೩ ಆಗಸ್ಟು

GPB—5 008—9-2 14

(P-T 8)

Memo no 6758 dated 23/4/07
ml 40 16-

Copy of the above is forwarded for
President's information. Kadur DC, with
reference to the correspondence ending
with his letter no. 184 dt/21.3.07

By order
Sd/-
Section Officer
New Delhi

GB 4
16/4/07